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DAB FIELD TEST PROJECT ANTENNA CHARACTERIZATION REPORT
for the EIA and NAB

Introduction

As part of the EIA/NAB Field-Testing Task Group, the Automotive Components Division of Ford Motor Company has recently conducted characterization testing of the various antenna systems which will be used for the DAB testing in San Francisco area. A copy of the project proposal can be found in Appendix A. This report describes the test methodologies used, analysis of the test data, and suggests conclusions based on the measurements.

VHF (Terrestrial)

Tests Conducted

The specific characterization testing consisted of the following measurements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna</th>
<th>1995 Taurus Power Antenna (ref. only)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gain/Directionality:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Polarization</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Polarization</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-Circular Polarization</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Off-the-Air&quot; Signals</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Impedance</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Fading vs. Height</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The gain/directionality measurements were performed at an outdoor, ground-plane antenna test range. These measurements were taken on a rotating turntable with the antenna's ground plane fixtured 4 feet above ground level.

The Vertical, Horizontal, and Right Circular Polarization (RCP) measurements utilized a laboratory generated test signal to illuminate the EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna; the resulting data was post-
processed to normalize the measurements to a reference 1/2 wave dipole. The results are presented in polar-plot form showing relative gain as a function of azimuthal position in Appendix B.

The "Off-the-Air" measurements utilized ambient broadcast signals to provide a relative indication of the performance. Again, the data is normalized to a reference 1/2 wave dipole and presented in polar-plot format in Appendix C.

The Output Impedance was measured using a network analyzer; the measurements were taken at the end of the coaxial cable supplied with the test antenna. The data is presented in Smith Chart and Voltage Standing Wave Ratio (VSWR) format normalized to 50 ohms in Appendix D.

**Multipath Test Description:**

An objective quantification of FM Signal Fading vs. Height is difficult to perform in a laboratory (or antenna test range) environment. The inherent difficulty is illuminating the receiving antenna-under-test with an appropriate combination of time-varying, multiple wavefronts representative of those experienced under mobile reception conditions. Even if this were practical, the results would likely prompt speculation into the correlation between the measurement results and actual field-conditions. To avoid these issues, a "real-world" characterization approach was selected which utilized ambient, off-the-air FM broadcast signals.

Using a fiberglass supporting structure, the EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna was fixtured at the end of a 5' x 12' trailer. Three different fiberglass structures were fabricated at heights of 2', 5' and 8'. By utilizing the various fixtures, it was possible to mount the EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna at different levels above ground. Since the trailer bed was (approx.) 2' above ground, testing was conducted with the antenna at aggregate heights of 4', 7' and 10' above ground level.

The trailer was towed by the Ford Econoline E350 Test Van. The output of the EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna was connected to a Rohde & Schwarz ESVP receiver; an external A/D board in the test van computer sampled the analog signal level output at 8" distance increments. Calibration and control software was written to correlate the DC voltage measurements to the corresponding received signal strength levels.

The received signal-level measurements were taken from EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna as the vehicle was driven along different test routes. (The intent was to determine/quantify the Fading vs. Height-Above-Ground under different environmental conditions). The test routes consisted of the following areas:

1. **Dearborn area:**
   - **Specific Route:** Hubbard Drive => Southfield Freeway service drive => Ford Road => Mercury Drive => Executive Plaza Drive.
   - **Route length:** 10,300 ft. (approx.)
Environment: Suburban office area with heavy traffic.

2. Downtown Detroit area:

Specific Route: Atwater Street -> St. Antione Street -> Jefferson Ave -> Ripolle Street.

Route length: 6,200 ft. (approx.)

Environment: Downtown urban area tall buildings and heavy traffic.

The received signal-level measurements from three local FM broadcast stations were measured along each route. The stations used for the measurements were 95.5 Mhz. (WKQR), 101.9 Mhz. (WDET), and 103.5 Mhz. (WMUZ). The criteria used to select these specific stations included:

a. Utilize commercial (i.e., non-educational) FM radio stations.

b. Utilize stations with operating frequencies in different portions of the FM band.

c. Utilize stations with transmitting towers located in different directions relative to the measurement locations.

To minimize the total measurement time-span, all three radio stations were measured at a given antenna height at the Dearborn test location. The antenna height was then changed and the measurements repeated. This process continued until data was collected at the Dearborn location at the 4', 7', and 10' levels.

The same measurement procedure was later used to collect data at the Downtown Detroit test location.

Data Collection and Post Processing:

The Signal-Level vs. Distance data was post-processed to determine to fading probability distribution at the different locations. The raw data versus time can be found in Appendix E. Every test route and radio station was measured twice at each antenna height to insure repeatability. Probability distribution functions of each location’s multiple runs can be seen in Appendix F.

Each data point from an individual measurement was grouped into a 1 dB. "bin". After assigning all the measurement data points, the total number of data points in each "bin" was counted and plotted. The Mean and Standard Deviation of the fading was then compared as a function of antenna height for each measurement. These can be found in Appendix G.
The measurement process repeatability was determined by re-measuring the 95.5 Mhz. station on the Dearborn test route at two different times (approx. 1.5 hours apart). The results are shown below:

![Graph showing signal strength vs. field strength for two runs at different times.]

--- Run #1
--- Run #3 (1.5 hours later)

Conclusions

The following are conclusions based on the measurement results:

1. The repeatability of the process used to measure signal fading vs. height has been demonstrated; temporal signal variations due to changing atmospheric propagation conditions did not significantly affect the measurement results.

2. Statistical differences in the signal fading characteristics have been observed with the EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna mounted at different heights above ground. The magnitude of the differences varied somewhat as a function of the environment.

Throughout the test areas, changing the antenna height from 10' to 4' above ground resulted in an average Mean gain change of -4.8 dB. Additionally, the Standard Deviation increased by .5 dB.
AM (Terrestrial)

Tests Conducted

The specific characterization testing consisted of the following measurements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EIA/NAB AM Test Antenna</th>
<th>1995 Taurus Power Antenna (ref. only)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gain / Directionality:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Off-the-Air” Signals</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The “Off-the-Air” measurements utilized ambient broadcast signals to provide a relative indication of the performance of the antenna under test. The data is presented in the form of raw power (dBm) into the spectrum analyzer. All measurement were made with an active buffer amplifier, which presented the antenna with a 22 kΩhm impedance, and matched to 50 Ohms at the spectrum analyzer. The AM IBOC antenna was tested with and without an additional 6 feet of RG-58 cable added to allow location of the buffer amplifier below the groundplane. During the testing, the AM IBOC antenna was mounted on the roof of an E150 van, to more closely correlate the mounting during the DAB testing. Polar plots of this data can be found in Appendix H.

Conclusions

The following are conclusions based on the measured results:

1. Comparing the results from the antenna under test with 6 feet of added cable (reasonable amount for a real application) to the 1995 Taurus, it can be seen that the AM IBOC antenna had an average gain 2 dB above the Taurus. This is consistent with the fact that the antenna under test was centered on the roof of a van, and was slightly longer that a standard vehicle whip antenna.

L-BAND (Terrestrial)

Tests Conducted

The DRRI Systems L-Band antenna (s/n 1001) was measured at an outdoor antenna test range. The raw data results can be found in Appendix I. All results were obtained by illuminating the antenna under test with a spin-linear transmit antenna. Gain measurement were first taken in the X-Z and Y-Z planes, then gain and ellipticity measurements were taken at elevations of 0, 20, and 40 degrees from horizon. All results are available in both polar and rectangular form.

Initially, a reference dipole was measured on the range, to provide a common reference point for all the data. A 0 dBi point is derived, and then marked on all subsequent plots.
The L-Band antenna was measured with the amplifier in-line and powered, at 1.468 GHz.

Conclusions

It can be seen from the data that the maximum gain appears to be approximately +27 dBi at an elevation of 30 degrees. The patterns are symmetrical at the various elevation cuts, and the deep nulls in the axial ratio indicate a vertically polarized antenna, as expected.

S-BAND (Satellite)

Tests Conducted

The Seavey Engineering Associates S-Band antenna model 9413-800 (s/n 001) was measured at an outdoor antenna test range. The raw data results can be found in Appendix J. All results were obtained by illuminating the antenna under test with a spin-linear transmit antenna. Gain measurement were first taken in the X-Z and Y-Z planes, then gain and ellipticity measurements were taken at elevations of 10, 25, 30, 50, and 80 degrees from horizon. All results are available in both polar and rectangular form.

Initially, a reference dipole was measured on the range, to provide a common reference point for all the data. A 0 dBi point is derived, and then marked on all subsequent plots. The S-Band antenna was measured without amplifiers or filters, at 2.01, 2.05, and 2.09 GHz

Conclusions

It can be seen from the data that the maximum gain appears to be approximately +5, +4, and +3 dBiL at an elevation of 25 degrees at the three frequencies. The patterns are symmetrical at the various elevation cuts, and the reduced nulls in the axial ratio indicate a circularly polarized antenna, as expected. The differences in gain (3 - 4 dB) between the Ford results and the Seavey Engineering results may be accounted for via the differences in illumination techniques (linear vs. circular).
APPENDIX A

DAR Field Test Project
Antenna Characterization Proposal
DAR Field Test Project Antenna Characterization

I. VHF (Terrestrial)
   A. Antenna Description
      1. 30" monopole on a 60" diameter groundplane. Antenna may be fed by a 50 Ω coaxial cable and located on a mounting plate affixed to the top of the test van.
   B. Polarization
      1. Lab testing may be conducted with a transmitted vertically polarized, horizontally polarized, and right hand circularly polarized continuous wave, created by a pair of crossed log-periodic dipole arrays, fed by a 90° phase shift hybrid. Transmit antenna is approximately 150 feet from the antenna under test, and is located 20 feet off the ground.
      2. Field testing (multi-path) environment may be conducted using off the air FM broadcast signals, which are nominally right hand circular off the transmitting tower.
   C. Gain
      1. Measured with respect to a calibrated dipole, with the antenna under test located on the vehicle. Gain measured at 21 distinct frequencies from 87.9 MHz to 107.9 MHz. Data reported at each frequency may include Area Mean Gain (dB(dipole)), Standard Deviation (dB), and Minimum/Maximum Ratio (dB).
   D. Radiation Pattern (azimuth)
      1. Measured in 1 degree increments at each of the 21 test frequencies, and reported in the form of polar plots.
   E. Impedance
      1. Measured on the vehicle, at the end of the cable, before the signal enters the first device (attenuator/splitter) of the RF test bed. Results can be presented in the form of Complex Impedance (Smith Chart), Voltage Standing Wave Ratio, and Mismatch.
   F. Multi-path Fading vs. Height
      1. Traverse multi-path routes with antenna at various heights. Antenna under test may be located on a trailer with a lift mechanism. Test limits may be bounded by nominal passenger vehicle installation height at the lowest, and test van installation height at the highest.
      2. Collect field strength (dBμV/m) vs. distance. Measurements are taken every 8 inches (approximately) and data runs may extend from 1000 to 10000 feet. Test routes may be repeated with antenna under test at various heights.
      3. Use statistical analysis to quantify the effect of height
         a) Comparison of field strength temporal data. Results can be plotted together to indicate gross correlation of the data, and to show any problems with the tests (equipment failures, loss of transmit material).
         b) Comparison of field strength probability density function. Data may be grouped in 1 dBμV/m cells, and multiple runs plotted together for comparison. Means and standard deviations of the various runs may be calculated.
         c) Calculation of maximum deviation (as a percentage of total data points) vs. field strength. Data may also be presented as an average maximum deviation for an entire test.
      4. To be reviewed by NRSC/EIA/NAB and proponents

II. L-Band (Terrestrial) - 1.468 GHz
   A. Antenna Description
      1. Radome enclosed w/ LNA. Antenna may be fed by a 50 Ω coaxial cable and located on a mounting plate affixed to the top of the test van.
B. Polarization
   1. Lab testing may be conducted using a dipole with a 3 foot parabolic reflector, with spin linear polarization.

C. Gain
   1. Measured with respect to a calibrated dipole over a ground plane

D. Radiation Pattern (azimuth and elevation)
   1. Azimuth radiation pattern cuts may be taken at elevations of 0, 20, and 40 degrees over the horizon. Nominal gain and ellipticity may also be reported at these orientations.
   2. Elevation cuts may be taken in the XZ and YZ planes

E. Multi-path Fading vs. Height
   1. Characteristic will not be measured
      a) Lack of availability of representative environment
      b) Minimal vehicular affect @ top of mounting plate

III. S-Band (Satellite) - 2.01 GHz to 2.09 GHz
A. Antenna Description
   1. Bi-filar spiral monopole, pylon

B. Polarization
   1. Lab testing may be conducted using a dipole with a 3 foot parabolic reflector, with spin linear polarization.

C. Gain
   1. Measured with respect to a calibrated dipole over a ground plane

D. Radiation Pattern (azimuth and elevation)
   1. Azimuth radiation pattern cuts may be taken at elevations of 10, 30, 50, and 80 degrees over the horizon, at 3 distinct frequencies spaced 40 MHz apart. Nominal gain and ellipticity may also be reported at these orientations.
   2. Elevation cuts may be taken in the XZ and YZ planes at all three frequencies. Maximum gain at zenith and 10 dB beamwidths may also be reported at these orientations.

E. Multi-path Fading vs. Height
   1. Characteristic will not be measured
      a) Lack of availability of representative environment
      b) Minimal vehicular affect @ top of mounting plate

IV. Required Hardware
A. Spectrum Analyzer / Receiver
   1. Rhode & Schwarz ESVP
   2. Anritsu MS2601A

B. Transmitter / Tracking Generator
   1. Anritsu MH680B

C. Transmit Antennas
   1. Log-periodic dipole array (VHF)
   2. 3’ parabolic dish with dipole (L-band)
   3. 3’ parabolic dish with dipole (S-band)

D. Calibrated Antennas
   1. Dipoles over ground planes

E. Network Analyzer
   1. HP8753C (to 6 GHz)

F. Towable Trailer and Hitch

G. Adjustable Lift Mechanism

V. Testing Locations
A. JEF (outdoor antenna range)
B. Dearborn / Detroit (urban multi-path environment)
C. NASA LeRC (urban multi-path environment)

VI. Concerns / Issues
A. Average Power vs. Selective Fading
   I. Function of receiver bandwidth
B. Diversity Implementation
   I. Not to be tested
C. Timing
APPENDIX B

VHF Antenna Gain Characterization Using Lab-generated Test Signal
1995 Taurus Power Antenna
and
EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna
Mean of Ind. Area Means...-4.1 dBd
Std dB Dev of Area Means... 1.1 dB

UNIT TESTED....'95 FORD
Mfg./Model.......TAURUS STATION WAGON
Antenna Type....POWER WHIP
Comments........NONE

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 10.1 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios... 1.5 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's... 2.7 dB

ID Number.......NORWOOD POOL CAR
Ant. Location....RIGHT FENDER

RF DETECTOR......SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW...100 KHz

RF SOURCE.......LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant......JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height...........20 Ft.
REF = 10 dBd

Area Mean = -3.6
Std dB Dev = 3.1
M/M Ratio = 11.3

Area Mean = -5.5
Std dB Dev = 3.1
M/M Ratio = 11.2

Area Mean = -3.3
Std dB Dev = 2.6
M/M Ratio = 10.1

Area Mean = -4.4
Std dB Dev = 2.5
M/M Ratio = 9.8

Area Mean = -2.2
Std dB Dev = 2.2
M/M Ratio = 9.1

Area Mean = -4.4
Std dB Dev = 2
M/M Ratio = 8.9

Area Mean = -4.6
Std dB Dev = 2.9
M/M Ratio = 12.8

Area Mean = -5.9
Std dB Dev = 2.7
M/M Ratio = 12.1

Mean of Ind. Area Means... -4.1 dBd
Std dB Dev of Area Means... 1.1 dB

UNIT TESTED... '95 FORD
Mfg./Model... TAURUS STATION WAGON
Antenna Type... POWER WHIP
Comments...... NONE

RF DETECTOR... SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW... 100 KHz

RF SOURCE... LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant... JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height......... 20 Ft.
REF = 10 dBd

87.9 MHz
Area Mean = -9.5
Std dB Dev = 5
M/M Ratio = 19.5

89.5 MHz
Area Mean = -11.7
Std dB Dev = 5.3
M/M Ratio = 23.2

90.3 MHz
Area Mean = -12.9
Std dB Dev = 5.7
M/M Ratio = 27.7

91.3 MHz
Area Mean = -13.6
Std dB Dev = 5.2
M/M Ratio = 19.1

92.1 MHz
Area Mean = -11.9
Std dB Dev = 6.8
M/M Ratio = 33.2

93.5 MHz
Area Mean = -10.7
Std dB Dev = 7.3
M/M Ratio = 33.3

94.3 MHz
Area Mean = -11.3
Std dB Dev = 6.7
M/M Ratio = 29.7

95.1 MHz
Area Mean = -12.2
Std dB Dev = 4.4
M/M Ratio = 17

95.9 MHz
Area Mean = -10
Std dB Dev = 5.6
M/M Ratio = 28.8

96.7 MHz
Area Mean = -12.6
Std dB Dev = 6.4
M/M Ratio = 30.3

98.3 MHz
Area Mean = -11.1
Std dB Dev = 5.5
M/M Ratio = 28.1

99.1 MHz
Area Mean = -12.1
Std dB Dev = 5.6
M/M Ratio = 27.3

Mean of Ind. Area Means... -12 dBd
Std DB Dev of Area Means... 1.5 dB

UNIT TESTED....'95 FORD
Mfg./Model....TAURUS STATION WAGON
Antenna Type...POWER WHIP
Comments.........NONE

RF DETECTOR....SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW... 100 KHz

RF SOURCE.....LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant...JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height..........20 Ft.

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios... 27 dB
Std DB Dev of M/M Ratios... 6.1 dB
Mean of Ind Std DB Dev's... 5.4 dB

ID Number......NORWOOD POOL CAR
Ant. Location...RIGHT FENDER

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn....Peak

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MH680B
Polarization...HORIZONTAL
Range............150 Ft.
REF = 10 dBd
FRONT=0
10 dB/DIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Area Mean</th>
<th>Std dB Dev</th>
<th>M/M Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99.9 MHz</td>
<td>-10.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.7 MHz</td>
<td>-12.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102.3 MHz</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.3 MHz</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.9 MHz</td>
<td>-11.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104.9 MHz</td>
<td>-14.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.3 MHz</td>
<td>-13.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.9 MHz</td>
<td>-15.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9 MHz</td>
<td>-13.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean of Ind. Area Means... -12.0 dBd
Std dB Dev of Area Means... 1.5 dB

UNIT TESTED... '95 FORD
Mfg./Model..... TAURUS STATION WAGON
Antenna Type... POWER WHIP
Comments....... NONE

RF DETECTOR.... SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW.. 100 KHz

RF SOURCE..... LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant... JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height......... 20 Ft.

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 27 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios.. 5.1 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's... 5.4 dB

ID Number...... NORWOOD POOL CAR
Ant. Location... RIGHT FENDER

Mfg./Model..... Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn.... Peak

Mfg./Model..... Anritsu MH680B
Polarization... HORIZONTAL
Range.......... 150 Ft.
Mean of Ind. Area Means...-2.6 dBd
Std dB Dev of Area Means...1.1 dB

UNIT TESTED....'95 FORD
Mfg./Model.....TAURUS STATION WAGON
Antenna Type...POWER WHIP
Comments........NONE

RF DETECTOR....SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW...100 KHz

RF SOURCE......LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant...JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height...........20 Ft.

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios....12.9 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios..2.4 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's..2.9 dB

ID Number.......NORWOOD POOL CAR
Ant. Location...RIGHT FENDER

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn.....Peak

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MH6808
Polarization......RIGHT CIRCULAR
Range............150 Ft.
REF = 10 dBd

Frontal Coverage

99.9 MHz
Area Mean = -1.6
Std dB Dev= 3.2
M/M Ratio = 13

100.7 MHz
Area Mean = -3.5
Std dB Dev= 3.2
M/M Ratio = 14

102.3 MHz
Area Mean = -2.8
Std dB Dev= 3.4
M/M Ratio = 15.8

103.3 MHz
Area Mean = -3.7
Std dB Dev= 3
M/M Ratio = 12.9

103.9 MHz
Area Mean = -1.5
Std dB Dev= 2.7
M/M Ratio = 11.7

104.9 MHz
Area Mean = -3.2
Std dB Dev= 2.5
M/M Ratio = 11.7

106.3 MHz
Area Mean = -2.8
Std dB Dev= 3.4
M/M Ratio = 16.3

106.9 MHz
Area Mean = -4.5
Std dB Dev= 3.2
M/M Ratio = 14.9

107.9 MHz
Area Mean = -2.5
Std dB Dev= 2.7
M/M Ratio = 13.4

Mean of Ind. Area Means...-2.6 dBd
Std dB Dev of Area Means...1.1 dB

UNIT TESTED...."95 FORD
Mfg./Model.....TAURUS STATION WAGON
Antenna Type...POWER WHIP
Comments........NONE

RF DETECTOR.....SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW..100 KHz

RF SOURCE......LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant...JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height.........20 Ft.

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios....12.9 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios..2.4 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's..2.9 dB

ID Number......NORWOOD POOL CAR
Ant. Location..RIGHT FENDER

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn....Peak

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MH680B
Polarization...RIGHT CIRCULAR
Range............150 Ft.
JEF Consultant, Inc.
Belleville, Michigan
Phone: (313) 482-5505

DATE: 02-27-1996
TIME: 10:40:45
PATTERN: 006

REF = 10 dBD
FRONT = 0
10 dB/DIV

87.9 MHz
Area Mean = -0.5
Std dB Dev = 0.2
M/M Ratio = 0.7

89.5 MHz
Area Mean = -1.4
Std dB Dev = 0.2
M/M Ratio = 1

90.3 MHz
Area Mean = -1.1
Std dB Dev = 0.2
M/M Ratio = 1

91.3 MHz
Area Mean = -1.2
Std dB Dev = 0.2
M/M Ratio = 1

92.1 MHz
Area Mean = -0.8
Std dB Dev = 0.2
M/M Ratio = 0.9

93.5 MHz
Area Mean = -0.3
Std dB Dev = 0.2
M/M Ratio = 1.1

94.3 MHz
Area Mean = -1.7
Std dB Dev = 0.3
M/M Ratio = 1.1

95.1 MHz
Area Mean = -2.7
Std dB Dev = 0.2
M/M Ratio = 1.1

95.9 MHz
Area Mean = -2.5
Std dB Dev = 0.2
M/M Ratio = 0.9

96.7 MHz
Area Mean = -2.2
Std dB Dev = 0.2
M/M Ratio = 0.9

98.3 MHz
Area Mean = -2
Std dB Dev = 0.2
M/M Ratio = 1

99.1 MHz
Area Mean = -2.6
Std dB Dev = 0.2
M/M Ratio = 0.8

Mean of Ind. Area Means...-1.9 dBD
Std dB Dev of Area Means... 1 dB

UNIT TESTED....
Mfg./Model.....
Antenna Type...1/4 WAVE MONOPOLE
Comments......FIXTURED ON 5 FT. SQUARE GROUND PLANE

RF DETECTOR....SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW.... 100 KHz

RF SOURCE......LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant... JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height.......... 20 Ft.

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 1 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios... 0.2 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's... 0.2 dB

ID Number....... FM 180C
Ant. Location...ON 4 FT. FOAM BLOCKS

Mfg./Model..... Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn.... Peak

Mfg./Model..... Anritsu MH8808
Polarization... VERTICAL
Range............ 150 Ft.
REF = 10dBd
FRONT=0
10 dB/DIV

Mean of Ind. Area Means...-1.9 dBd
Std dB Dev of Area Means.. 1 dB

UNIT TESTED....
Mfg./Model....
Antenna Type...1/4 WAVE MONOPOLE
Comments.........FIXTURED ON 5 FT. SQUARE GROUND PLANE

RF DETECTOR...SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW... 100 KHz
RF SOURCE.....LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant...JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height............20 Ft.

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 1 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios... .2 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's... .2 dB

ID Number......
Ant. Location..ON 4 FT. FOAM BLOCKS

Mfg./Model....Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn....Peak

Mfg./Model....Anritsu MH680B
Polarization...VERTICAL
Range............150 Ft.
Mean of Ind. Area Means...-23 dBd
Std dB Dev of Area Means... 4.9 dB

UNIT TESTED....
Mfg./Model....... Anritsu MS2601A
Antenna Type... 1/4 WAVE MONOPOLE
RF Detector... SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW... 100 KHz
RF Source...... LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant... JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height......... 20 Ft.

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 15.7 dB
Std dB Dev of MM Ratios.. 5.8 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's... 3 dB
ID Number....... Anritsu MH680B
Ant. Location... ON 4 FT. FOAM BLOCKS
Polarization.... HORIZONTAL
Range............ 150 Ft.
REF = 10 dBd
FRONT = 0
10 dB/DIV

99.9 MHz
Area Mean = -30.6
Std dB Dev = 3.7
M/M Ratio = 18

100.7 MHz
Area Mean = -27.7
Std dB Dev = 4.1
M/M Ratio = 18.8

102.3 MHz
Area Mean = -28.3
Std dB Dev = 4.4
M/M Ratio = 22.6

103.3 MHz
Area Mean = -30.9
Std dB Dev = 3.6
M/M Ratio = 15.2

103.9 MHz
Area Mean = -20.2
Std dB Dev = 3.8
M/M Ratio = 16.1

104.9 MHz
Area Mean = -30
Std dB Dev = 3
M/M Ratio = 12.7

106.3 MHz
Area Mean = -27.7
Std dB Dev = 3.2
M/M Ratio = 15

106.9 MHz
Area Mean = -27
Std dB Dev = 3.5
M/M Ratio = 14.6

107.9 MHz
Area Mean = -20.5
Std dB Dev = 4.3
M/M Ratio = 20

Mean of Ind. Area Means...-23 dBd
Std dB Dev of Area Means... 4.9 dB

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 15.7 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios... 5.8 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's... 3 dB

UNIT TESTED....
Mfg./Model.....
Antenna Type... 1/4 WAVE MONOPOLE
Comments.......FIXTURED ON 5 FT. SQUARE GROUND PLANE

RF DETECTOR....SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW.. 100 KHz

RF SOURCE......LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant... JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height............20 Ft.

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn.... Peak

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MH680B
Polarization... HORIZONTAL
Range......... 150 Ft.
REF = 10dBd  FRONT=0  10 dB/DIV

87.9 MHz  Area Mean = .9  Std dB Dev = .3  M/M Ratio = 1.1
89.5 MHz  Area Mean = .8  Std dB Dev = .3  M/M Ratio = 1.2
90.3 MHz  Area Mean = .7  Std dB Dev = .3  M/M Ratio = 1.2
91.3 MHz  Area Mean = -.3  Std dB Dev = .3  M/M Ratio = 1.2

92.1 MHz  Area Mean = .4  Std dB Dev = .3  M/M Ratio = 1.1
93.5 MHz  Area Mean = 1.1  Std dB Dev = .3  M/M Ratio = 1.2
94.3 MHz  Area Mean = -1.3  Std dB Dev = .3  M/M Ratio = 1.2
95.1 MHz  Area Mean = -.4  Std dB Dev = .3  M/M Ratio = 1.3

95.9 MHz  Area Mean = -.7  Std dB Dev = .3  M/M Ratio = 1.1
96.7 MHz  Area Mean = -1.2  Std dB Dev = .3  M/M Ratio = 1.2
98.3 MHz  Area Mean = -1.7  Std dB Dev = .3  M/M Ratio = 1.1
99.1 MHz  Area Mean = -2.2  Std dB Dev = .2  M/M Ratio = .9

Mean of Ind. Area Means...-.8 dBd  Std dB Dev of Area Means...1.4 dB

UNIT TESTED....
Mfg./Model......
Antenna Type...1/4 WAVE MONOPOLE
Comments......FIXTURED ON 5 FT. SQUARE GROUND PLANE

RF DETECTOR...SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW...100 KHz

RF SOURCE...LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant...JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height.........20 Ft.

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios....1.2 dB  Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios...2.2 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's... .3 dB

ID Number......
Ant. Location..ON 4 FT. FOAM BLOCKS

Mfg./Model......Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn....Peak

Mfg./Model......Anritsu MH680B
Polarization...RIGHT CIRCULAR
Range............150 Ft.
JEF Consultant, Inc.
Belleville, Michigan
Phone: (313) 482-5505

DATE.....02-27-1996
TIME.....10:49:45
PATTERN.....00B

REF = 10dBD
FRONT=0
10 dB/DIV

99.9 MHz
Area Mean = -1.2
Std dB Dev= .2
M/M Ratio = .8

100.7 MHz
Area Mean = -4
Std dB Dev= .2
M/M Ratio = .8

102.3 MHz
Area Mean = -1.4
Std dB Dev= .3
M/M Ratio = 1.2

103.3 MHz
Area Mean = -3.4
Std dB Dev= .3
M/M Ratio = 1.3

103.9 MHz
Area Mean = -1.8
Std dB Dev= .4
M/M Ratio = 1.6

104.9 MHz
Area Mean = -3.5
Std dB Dev= .3
M/M Ratio = 1.5

106.3 MHz
Area Mean = -1.8
Std dB Dev= .3
M/M Ratio = 1.2

106.9 MHz
Area Mean = -2.6
Std dB Dev= .4
M/M Ratio = 1.6

107.9 MHz

Mean of Ind. Area Means...-8 dBD
Std dB Dev of Area Means..1.4 dB

UNIT TESTED.....
Mfg./Model.....
Antenna Type...1/4 WAVE MONOPOLE
Comments.........FIXTURED ON 5 FT. SQUARE GROUND PLANE

RF DETECTOR...SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW..100 KHz

RF SOURCE.....LAB SIGNAL
Transmit Ant...JEF 50-1000 MHz XLP
Height...........20 Ft.

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios....1.2 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios... .2 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's... .3 dB

ID Number......
Ant. Location..ON 4 FT. FOAM BLOCKS

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn.....Peak

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MH680B
Polarization...RIGHT CIRCULAR
Range...........150 Ft.
APPENDIX C

VHF Antenna Gain Characterization Using "Off-air" Signals

1995 Taurus Power Antenna and EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna
DATE....02-27-1996  TIME.....10:02:36  PATTERN.....004

REF = -40dBm  FRONT=0  10 dB/DIV

88.1 MHz
Area Mean = -90.7  Std dB Dev= 1.8  M/M Ratio = 10.2

89.1 MHz
Area Mean = -56.9  Std dB Dev= 3.6  M/M Ratio = 16.8

89.9 MHz
Area Mean = -62.7  Std dB Dev= 4.4  M/M Ratio = 26

90.9 MHz
Area Mean = -64.7  Std dB Dev= 2.7  M/M Ratio = 13

91.7 MHz
Area Mean = -56.4  Std dB Dev= 3.2  M/M Ratio = 16.7

93.1 MHz
Area Mean = -62.4  Std dB Dev= 3.6  M/M Ratio = 18.5

93.9 MHz
Area Mean = -64.7  Std dB Dev= 2.5  M/M Ratio = 14.2

94.7 MHz
Area Mean = -63  Std dB Dev= 6.8  M/M Ratio = 30

96.3 MHz
Area Mean = -58.5  Std dB Dev= 3.9  M/M Ratio = 19.2

97.1 MHz
Area Mean = -62.7  Std dB Dev= 5.4  M/M Ratio = 26.6

97.9 MHz
Area Mean = -59.9  Std dB Dev= 4.3  M/M Ratio = 21.5

98.7 MHz
Area Mean = -59.5  Std dB Dev= 3.4  M/M Ratio = 19.7

Mean of Ind. Area Means...-59.1 dBm
Std dB Dev of Area Means.. 8.2 dB

UNIT TESTED.....'95 FORD
Mfg./Model.....TAURUS STATION WAGON
Antenna Type...POWER WHIP
Comments.......NONE

RF DETECTOR.....SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW...100 KHz

RF SOURCE......AIR SIGNAL
Transmit Ant...N/A
Height.........N/A

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 24 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios.. 8 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's.. 3.8 dB

ID Number.....NORWOOD POOL CAR
Ant. Location..RIGHT FENDER

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn....Peak

Mfg./Model.....N/A
Polarization...N/A
Range............N/A
REF = -40dBm
FRONT=0
10 dB/DIV

Mean of Ind. Area Means...-59.1 dBm
Std db Dev of Area Means.. 8.2 dB

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 24 dB
Std db Dev of M/M Ratios.. 6 dB
Mean of Ind Std db Dev's.. 3.8 dB

UNIT TESTED.... '95 FORD
Mfg./Model..... TAURUS STATION WAGON
Antenna Type... POWER WHIP
Comments...........NONE

ID Number...........NORWOOD POOL CAR
Ant. Location........ RIGHT FENDER

RF DETECTOR......... SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW..... 100 KHz

RF SOURCE......... AIR SIGNAL
Transmit Ant... N/A
Height......... N/A

Mfg./Model...... Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fm....... Peak
Mfg./Model...... N/A
Polarization..... N/A
Range............. N/A
JEF Consultant, Inc.
Belleville, Michigan Phone: (313) 482-5505

DATE.....02-27-1996 TIME.....10:54:33 PATTERN.....009

REF = -40dBm FRONT=0 10 dB/DIV

88.1 MHz
Area Mean = -90.5
Std dB Dev= 1.7
M/M Ratio = 9.1

89.1 MHz
Area Mean = -53.3
Std dB Dev= 1.5
M/M Ratio = 8

89.9 MHz
Area Mean = -60.3
Std dB Dev= 0.6
M/M Ratio = 5.1

90.9 MHz
Area Mean = -59.1
Std dB Dev= 0.9
M/M Ratio = 6

91.7 MHz
Area Mean = -52.6
Std dB Dev= 1.3
M/M Ratio = 6.7

93.1 MHz
Area Mean = -55.7
Std dB Dev= 2.4
M/M Ratio = 13.2

93.9 MHz
Area Mean = -62.7
Std dB Dev= 1.5
M/M Ratio = 9.4

94.7 MHz
Area Mean = -59.6
Std dB Dev= 2.9
M/M Ratio = 14.6

96.3 MHz
Area Mean = -58.3
Std dB Dev= 1.2
M/M Ratio = 7.9

97.1 MHz
Area Mean = -57.5
Std dB Dev= 2.8
M/M Ratio = 14.1

97.9 MHz
Area Mean = -58.7
Std dB Dev= 1.3
M/M Ratio = 7.7

98.7 MHz
Area Mean = -57.9
Std dB Dev= 2.1
M/M Ratio = 12.8

Mean of Ind. Area Means...-57.1 dBm
Std dB Dev of Area Means.. 8.4 dB

UNIT TESTED....
Mfg./Model.....
Antenna Type...1/4 WAVE MONOPOLE
Comments........FIXTURED ON 5 FT. SQUARE GROUND PLANE

RF DETECTOR...SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW...100 KHz

RF SOURCE......AIR SIGNAL
Transmit Ant...N/A
Height.........N/A

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 9.4 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios.. 4 dB
Mean of Ind Std Dev's... 1.3 dB

ID Number......
Ant. Location..ON 4 FT. FOAM BLOCKS

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn....Peak

Mfg./Model.....N/A
Polarization..N/A
Range.........N/A
Mean of Ind. Area Means...−57.1 dBm
Std dB Dev of Area Means.. 8.4 dB

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 9.1 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios.. 4 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's.. 1.3 dB

UNIT TESTED....
Mfg./Model....... 1/4 WAVE MONOPOLE
Antenna Type: 1/4 WAVE MONOPOLE
Comments............FIXTURED ON 5 FT. SQUARE GROUND PLANE

RF DETECTOR.... SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW.... 100 KHz

RF SOURCE.... AIR SIGNAL
Transmit Ant... N/A
Height......... N/A

Mfg./Model.... Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn. Peak

Mfg./Model.... N/A
Polarization... N/A
Range......... N/A
APPENDIX D

VHF Antenna Characterization - Output Impedance

EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna
APPENDIX E

VHF Antenna Characterization - Fading vs. Antenna Height (Data)
EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna
Filename: c:\eia\0955_1.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Date: 03-01-1996
Time: 17:06:09
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
95.5 Mhz. on 5' frame; Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

Field Strength Level

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
(F) Plot Another File
Filename: c:\eia\0955_2.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Date: 03-01-1996
Time: 17:19:40
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 5' frame; Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

Field Strength Level

80 dBuV/m
30 dBuV/m

1 Distance Traveled (Feet) 10287

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\0955_3.dat  Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Date: 03-01-1996  Time: 10:27:46
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0  Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on Z' frame: Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

80 dBuV/m

Field Strength Level

30 dBuV/m

1  Distance Traveled (Feet)  10279

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\0955_4.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Date: 03-01-1996
Time: 18:37:10
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 2' frame: Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

Field Strength Level

80 dBuV/m

30 dBuV/m

Distance Traveled (Feet) 10283

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\0955_5.dat
Date: 03-01-1996
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
3rd Run on 2' frame; Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Time: 20:02:41
Field-Strength vs. Distance

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\0955_6.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Date: 03-01-1996
Time: 21:42:27
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 8' frame; Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

Field Strength Level

90 dBuV/m

40 dBuV/m

Distance Traveled (Feet)

1 10276

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\0955_7.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Date: 03-01-1996
Time: 21:55:12
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 8' frame; Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

Field Strength Level

90 dBuV/m

40 dBuV/m

1 Distance Traveled (Feet) 10280

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\0955_0.dat
Date: 03-04-1996
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Time: 16:39:17
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 8' frame; Atwater-St. Antione-Jefferson Route.

Field Strength Level

30 dBuV/m
80 dBuV/m

1 6171
Distance Traveled (Feet)

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
(F) Plot Another File
Filename: c:\eia\0955_9.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 16:50:46
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 8' frame: Atwater-St. Antione-Jefferson Route.

Field Strength Level

80 dBuV/m

30 dBuV/m

1  Distance Traveled (Feet)  6185

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
(F) Plot Another File
Filename: c:\eia\0955_10.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 17:45:33
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run w/ Test Van Antenna: Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run w/ Test Van Antenna; Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\0955_12.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 18:55:54
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on Z' frame: Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\0955_13.dat
Date: 03-04-1996
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 2' frame; Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\0955_14.dat
Date: 03-04-1996
Freq. (MHz.): 95.5
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Time: 20:01:21
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 5' frame; Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\0955_15.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 95.5
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 20:08:38
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 5' frame: Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1019_1.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 101.9
Date: 03-01-1996
Time: 17:35:09
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 5' frame; Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1019_2.dat
Date: 03-01-1996
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Freq. (Mhz.): 101.9
Time: 17:44:09
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 5' frame: Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

Field Strength Level

40 dBuV/m 90 dBuV/m

1 Distance Traveled (Feet) 10288

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1019_3.dat
Freq. (MHz.): 101.9
Date: 03-01-1996
Time: 18:46:50
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 2' frame: Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.
Filename: \eia\1019_5.dat

Freq. (Mhz.): 101.9

Date: 03-01-1996

Time: 22:05:30

Ant. Factor (dB.): 0

Field-Strength vs. Distance

1st Run on 8' frame: Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1019_6.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 101.9
Date: 03-01-1996
Time: 22:16:27
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 8' frame: Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

Field Strength
Level

90 dBuV/m
40 dBuV/m

Distance Traveled (Feet)
1 10276

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1019_7.dat
Date: 03-04-1996
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
1st Run on 8' frame; Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.
Freq. (Mhz.): 101.9
Time: 17:03:57
Field-Strength vs. Distance

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
(F) Plot Another File
Filename: c:\eia\1019_8.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 101.9
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 17:11:00
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0

Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 8' frame; Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

Field Strength Level

100 dBuV/m

40 dBuV/m

1 Distance Traveled (Feet)  6245

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
(F) Plot Another File
File: c:\eia\1019_9.dat
Date: 03-04-1996
Freq. (MHz): 101.9
Time: 18:01:49
Ant. Factor (dB): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run w/ Test Van Antenna: Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

Field Strength Level

100 dBuV/m

40 dBuV/m

1 6175

Distance Traveled (Feet)

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1019_10.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 101.9
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 18:09:54
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run w/ Test van Antenna: Atwater-St. Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 2' frame: Atwater-St.Antiome-Jefferson Route.

File: `c:\eia\1019_11.dat`
Freq. (Mhz.): 101.9
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 19:11:46
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1019_12.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 101.9
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 19:18:32
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 2' frame; Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1019_13.dat
Date: 03-04-1996
Freq. (Mhz.): 101.9
Time: 20:15:51
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 5' frame: Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1019_14.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 101.9
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 20:23:25
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 5' frame: Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

100 dBuV/m

Field Strength Level

40 dBuV/m

1 Distance Traveled (Feet) 6169

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1035_1.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Date: 03-01-1996
Time: 17:53:34
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 5' frame; Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

Field Strength Level

100 dBuV/m

50 dBuV/m

Distance Traveled (Feet)

1 10278

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: \eia\1035_2.dat
Date: 03-01-1996
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Time: 18:01:28
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 5' frame; Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1035_3.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Date: 03-01-1996
Time: 19:07:21
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 2' frame; Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1035_4.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Date: 03-01-1996
Time: 19:17:12
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 2' frame: Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

90 dBuV/m

Field
Strength
Level

50 dBuV/m

Distance Traveled (Feet)

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1035_5.dat
Date: 03-01-1996
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Time: 22:25:42
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 8' frame: Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1035_6.dat
Date: 03-01-1996
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Time: 22:35:39
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 8' frame; Hubbard-Southfield-Ford-Mercury Route.

Field Strength Level

100 dBuV/m

50 dBuV/m 1 Distance Traveled (Feet) 10279

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1035_7.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 17:18:21
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 8' frame: Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
(F) Plot Another File
Filename: c:\eia\1035_8.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 17:24:51
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 8' frame: Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run w/ Test Van Antenna: Atwater-St. Antione-Jefferson Route.

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Field: c:\eia\1035_10.dat
Freq. (MHz): 103.5
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 18:24:29
Ant. Factor (dB): 0
Field-Intensity vs. Distance
2nd Run w/ Test Van Antenna: Atwater-St. Anthony-Jefferson Route.

Field Strength Level

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1035_11.dat
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Date: 03-04-1996
Time: 19:27:11
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 2' frame; Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

Field Strength Level

30 dBuV/m
80 dBuV/m

1 Distance Traveled (Feet) 6173

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1035_12.dat
Date: 03-04-1996
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Time: 19:34:47
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 2' frame; Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

80 dBuV/m

Field Strength Level

30 dBuV/m

1 Distance Traveled (Feet) 6180

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1035_13.dat
Date: 03-04-1996
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Time: 20:31:00
Field-Strength vs. Distance
1st Run on 5' frame; Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

Field Strength Level

30 dBuV/m 1 Distance Traveled (Feet) 6169

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
Filename: c:\eia\1035_14.dat
Date: 03-04-1996
Freq. (Mhz.): 103.5
Time: 20:38:39
Ant. Factor (dB.): 0
Field-Strength vs. Distance
2nd Run on 5' frame: Atwater-St.Antione-Jefferson Route.

Field Strength Level

30 dBuV/m 80 dBuV/m

1 Distance Traveled (Feet) 6174

(X) Rescale X-Axis, (Y) Rescale Y-Axis, (S) Save Data, (D) DOS Shell, (R) Return
VHF Antenna Characterization - Fading vs. Antenna Height (Raw Statistics)
EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Dearborn - 103.5 MHz @ 4 feet

- Run #1
- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Dearborn - 103.5 MHz @ 7 feet

Field Strength (dBuV)

Frequency (# of points)

--- Run #1
--- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Dearborn - 103.5 MHz @ 10 feet

Field Strength (dBuV)

Frequency (# of points)

Run #1

Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 103.5 MHz @ 4 feet

--- Run #1
-- Run #2

Frequency (# of points)

Field Strength (dBuV)
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 103.5 MHz @ 7 feet

Field Strength (dBiV)

- Run #1
- Run #2

Frequency (# of points)
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 103.5 MHz @ 10 feet

Field Strength (dBuV)

Frequency (# of points)

Run #1
Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 103.5 MHz on Vehicle

--- Run #1
--- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Dearborn - 101.9 MHz @ 4 feet

Field Strength (dBiV)

Frequency (# of points)

Run #1

Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Dearborn - 101.9 MHz @ 7 feet

--- Run #1
--- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Dearborn - 101.9 MHz @ 10 feet

- Run #1
- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 101.9 MHz @ 4 feet

Field Strength (dBuV)

Frequency (# of points)

- Run #1
- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 101.9 MHz @ 7 feet

Field Strength (dBuV)

Frequency (# of points)

Run #1
- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 101.9 MHz @ 10 feet

- Run #1
- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 101.9 MHz on Vehicle

Run #1
Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Dearborn - 95.5 MHz @ 4 feet

Field Strength (dBuV)

Frequency (# of points)

--- Run #1
-- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Dearborn - 95.5 MHz @ 10 feet

Field Strength (dBuV)

Frequency (# of points)

--- Run #1
--- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Dearborn - 95.5 MHz @ 7 feet

--- Run #1
--- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 95.5 MHz @ 4 feet

Field Strength (dBuV)

Frequency (# of points)

--- Run #1
--- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 95.5 MHz @ 7 feet

--- Run #1
--- Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 95.5 MHz @ 10 feet

Run #1
Run #2
Temporal Comparison of Multiple Runs

Detroit - 95.5 MHz on Vehicle

- Run #1
- Run #2
APPENDIX G

VHF Antenna Characterization - Fading vs. Antenna Height (Statistics vs. Height)
EIA/NAB VHF Test Antenna
Dearborn - 103.5 MHz

4 feet
7 feet
10 feet

Number of Points

50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90
Field Strength (dBuV)

Mean = \[\begin{pmatrix} 78.012 \\ 80.9 \\ 83.146 \end{pmatrix}\]
STDEV = \[\begin{pmatrix} 4.591 \\ 4.199 \\ 4.293 \end{pmatrix}\]
Downtown Detroit - 103.5 MHz

Number of Points

Field Strength (dBuV)

--- 4 feet
--- 7 feet
--- 10 feet
--- van

\[
\text{MEAN} = \begin{bmatrix} 59.567 \\ 61.677 \\ 64.291 \\ 59.251 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{STDEV} = \begin{bmatrix} 5.844 \\ 5.852 \\ 5.65 \\ 5.512 \end{bmatrix}
\]
Dearborn - 101.9 MHz

$\text{MEAN} = \begin{pmatrix} 70.926 \\ 74.325 \\ 76.803 \end{pmatrix}$

$\text{STDEV} = \begin{pmatrix} 5.576 \\ 4.829 \\ 4.511 \end{pmatrix}$
Downtown Detroit - 101.9 MHz

Number of Points

Field Strength (dBuV)

- 4 feet
- 7 feet
- 10 feet
- Van

\[
\text{MEAN} = \begin{bmatrix}
73.98 \\
75.272 \\
77.744 \\
73.594
\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{STDEV} = \begin{bmatrix}
6.746 \\
6.772 \\
6.609 \\
7.342
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Dearborn - 95.5 MHz

Field Strength (dBuV)

Number of Points

- 4 feet
- 7 feet
- 10 feet

\[
\text{MEAN} = \begin{bmatrix} 64.091 \\ 67.07 \\ 69.484 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{STDEV} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.711 \\ 4.141 \\ 3.81 \end{bmatrix}
\]
Downtown Detroit - 95.5 MHz

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Number of Points} & \quad \text{Field Strength (dBuV)} \\
0 & \quad 35 \quad 40 \quad 45 \quad 50 \quad 55 \quad 60 \quad 65 \quad 70
\end{align*}
\]

- 4 feet
- 7 feet
- 10 feet
- van

\[
\text{MEAN} = \begin{bmatrix} 55.004 \\ 56.267 \\ 58.724 \\ 54.429 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{STDEV} = \begin{bmatrix} 5.382 \\ 5.412 \\ 5.154 \\ 5.43 \end{bmatrix}
\]
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APPENDIX H

MF Antenna Gain Characterization Using “Off-air” Signals

1995 Taurus Power Antenna

and

EIA/NAB AM Test Antenna
JEF Consultant, Inc.
Belleville, Michigan Phone: (313) 482-5505
DATE.....02-27-1996 TIME.....10: 12: 18 PATTERN.....005

REF = -50dBm FRONT=0 10 dB/DIV

-56 MHz
Area Mean = -79.8 Std dB Dev = 2.4 M/M Ratio = 18.2

-69 MHz
Area Mean = -70.7 Std dB Dev = 3.1 M/M Ratio = 22.5

-76 MHz
Area Mean = -56.4 Std dB Dev = 2.1 M/M Ratio = 15.5

-95 MHz
Area Mean = -72.1 Std dB Dev = 2.7 M/M Ratio = 19.6

-.99 MHz
Area Mean = -65.5 Std dB Dev = 2.5 M/M Ratio = 24.9

-1.31 MHz
Area Mean = -77.2 Std dB Dev = 2.2 M/M Ratio = 17.6

-1.6 MHz
Area Mean = -74.2 Std dB Dev = 2.1 M/M Ratio = 14.3

Mean of Ind. Area Means...-64 dBm
Std dB Dev of Area Means... 10.8 dB

UNIT TESTED.....'95 FORD
Mfg./Model......TAURUS STATION WAGON
Antenna Type.....POWER WHIP
Comments.......AM DATA TAKEN WITH FORD BUFFER AMP POWERED W/POWER SUPPLY SET AT 9V

RF DETECTOR.....SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW.....10 KHz

RF SOURCE......AIR SIGNAL
Transmit Ant.....N/A
Height..........N/A

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios..... 20.4 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios... 4.1 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's.. 2.4 dB

ID Number.....NORWOOD POOL CAR
Ant. Location.....RIGHT FENDER

Mfg./Model.....Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn.....Peak

Mfg./Model.....N/A
Polarization.....N/A
Range............N/A
Mean of Ind. Area Means... -61.9 dBm
Std dB Dev of Area Means... 11.5 dB

UNIT TESTED.... B4 FORD
Mfg./Model.... E150 VAN
Antenna Type.... MAG MOUNT WHIP
Comments..... AM DATA TAKEN W/FORD BUFFER T OF RG-58 CABLE ADDED
RF DETECTOR.... SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW... 10 KHz

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 19.3 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios... 4.7 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's... 2.5 dB

ID Number..... AM IBOC
Ant. Location... CENTER OF ROOF
AMP POWERED W/POWER SUPPLY SET AT 9V/6 FEE

Mfg./Model.... Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn.... Peak

Mfg./Model.... N/A
Polarization.... N/A
Mean of Ind. Area Means... -51.1 dBm
Std dB Dev of Area Means... 11.4 dB

UNIT TESTED..... 84 FORD
Mfg./Model..... E150 VAN
Antenna Type... MAG MOUNT WHIP
Comments...... AM DATA TAKEN W/FORD BUFFER AMP POWERED W/POWER SUPPLY SET AT 9V/BUFF
R AMP ON ROOF
RF DETECTOR..... SPECTRUM ANALYZER
Resolution BW.. 10 KHz

RF SOURCE..... AIR SIGNAL
Transmit Ant... N/A
Height......... N/A

Mean of Ind. MM Ratios.... 19.4 dB
Std dB Dev of M/M Ratios... 3.9 dB
Mean of Ind Std dB Dev's... 2.4 dB

ID Number...... AM IBOC
Ant. Location... CENTER OF ROOF
Mfg./Model..... Anritsu MS2601A
Detector Fn.... Peak
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